



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

5.	COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
	P.O. Box 1450
	Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
	www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/622,593	10/23/2000	Bo Olsson	194667US2PCT	8721
22850	7590 11/15/2004	y	EXAMINER-	
OBLON, SI 1940 DUKE		ID, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.		
	RIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 11/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Notification of Non-Compliance** With 37 CFR 1.192(c)

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/622,593	OLSSON ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Joseph T Phan	2645	
1		

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

The Appeal Brief filed on 20 October 2004 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 1.192(c). See MPEP § 1206.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file IN TRIPLICATE a complete new brief in compliance with 37 CFR 1.192(c) within the longest of any of the following three TIME PERIODS: (1) ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer; (2) TWO MONTHS from the date of the notice of appeal; or (3) within the period for reply to the action from which this appeal was taken. EXTENSIONS OF THESE TIME PERIODS MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.

1.			e brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 1.192(c), or the items are not under the proper ading or in the proper order.
2.			e brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, pending or cancelled, or does not identify the pealed claims (37 CFR 1.192(c)(3)).
3.			east one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a tement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 1.192(c)(4)).
4.			e brief does not contain a concise explanation of the claimed invention, referring to the specification by page line number and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 1.192(c)(5)).
5.		The	brief does not contain a concise statement of the issues presented for review (37 CFR 1.192(c)(6)).
6.		A s	ingle ground of rejection has been applied to two or more claims in this application, and
	(a)		the brief omits the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet presents arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
	(b)		the brief includes the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet does not present arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
7.		The	e brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each issue on appeal (37 CFR 1.192(c)(8)).
8.		The	e brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 1.192(c)(9)).
9.	$\boxtimes$	Oth	ner (including any explanation in support of the above items):
			·

The appeal brief contains newly added claim limitations that raises new issues and would require further consideration and search. The claims presented in the brief are not the pending claims rejected in the Final office action mailed by Examiner on 5/21/2004 and therefore makes the appea brief defective. Specifically in new claims 1 and 9 the limitations "establishes a wireless connection" and in claim 3 "message stored in advance" carry different meaning than the prior claim language used and therefore would require further consideration

FAN TSANG

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

and/or search.